

REMARKS

Claims 1-19 are presently pending and stand rejected.  
Claims 1-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 8, 10, 12-14, and 17-19 were rejected as being obvious from U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2003/0163327 to Morton.

Claims 4 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from Morton in view of Houha.

Claims 6, 7, 15, and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from the combination of Morton in view of Hewitt.

Claims 9 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from the combination of Morton in view of Houha and further in view of Watkins.

"Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under one or more of subsections (e), (f), and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the claimed invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation to assignment to the same person." 35 U.S.C. § 103(c)(1).

It is respectfully submits that U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2003/0163327 (which cannot qualify for prior art under subsections (a)-(d) of section 102) and the presently claimed invention were, at the time the claimed invention was made, owned by the Broadcom Corporation. *See Patent*

Assignment Abstract of Title U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No.  
2003/0163327 and 10/725,771 (Attached).

Accordingly, Assignee traverses the rejection of claims 1-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) and requests that Examiner withdraw the rejections.

Additionally, with respect to claim 1, 12, and 19, Examiner has taken official notice that "it is well known and therefore obvious that a decompression function defined within the scope of a computer program is necessary to decode encoded data, where a decompression function can relate to linearity or non-linearity and define through various means, i.e., lookup table, matrices, etc." Office Action at 3. Assignee respectfully traverse and requests that Examiner substantiate the foregoing.

Conclusion

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee respectfully submits that each of the pending claims are in a condition for allowance and Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this case to issuance.

It is believed that all monies for the actions described herein are provided with this correspondence. To the extent that additional monies are required for any of the actions requested in the correspondence, Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees and credit any overpayments to deposit account 13-0017.

Respectfully Submitted



Mirut Dalal  
Attorney for Assignee  
Reg. No. 44,052

October 1, 2007

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.  
500 West Madison - Suite 3400  
Chicago, IL 60661

Phone (312) 775-8000  
FAX (312) 775-8100